

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/614,237	07/08/2003	Keisuke Matsuki	030822	6166	
23850	7590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER		
	NG, KRATZ, QUINT	TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS			
1725 K STRI SUITE 1000	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20006	3725			

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/614,	237	MATSUKI, KEISUKE			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Tolan E		3725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on ti	he cover sheet with th	e correspondence ac	Idress		
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC DISTORY of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) a period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and	event, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for confication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered time rom the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status					•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on Election, 9-27-	<u>-2004</u> .				
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parie</i> G	luayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 455 O.G. 215.			
Dispositi	on of Claims				•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or to accepted or to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. ired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u>□</u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docun al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applic nents have been rece ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	l Stage		
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pto No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/614,237

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's arguments and amendment of claim 3 in the Election of 9-27-04 are accepted, Group I and Group II claims 1-3 will be examined together in these proceedings. The restriction of the previous Office Action is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Kilinskas et al. (4,296,512) in view of Badger et al. (5,947,827). Kilinskas discloses the
manufacture of a high strength bolt or screw (column 4, lines 1-10) from a wire material
having a tensile strength in excess of 1100 Mpa. The wire material is lubricated
(column 4, lines 41-45). Following the preparation of the wire material slugs of the
material are cold formed (column 5, lines 24-60) into bolt blanks. In column 2, lines 3538 Kilinskas discloses a degree of working defined in terms of a natural logarithm in
terms of length (area) reduction. In column 5, lines 41-43 and column 6, lines 40-46
Kilinskas discloses degrees of working. Kilinskas does not disclose threading of the bolt
blanks. Badger teaches that it is known to thread austenitic steel bolts. It would have
been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to thread the bolt blanks of
Kilinskas as taught by Badger in order to create a threaded useable product.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,237

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 703-305-3021.

ETT 10-26-04

ED TOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER